Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/698,111	HARVILLE, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BERNARD KRASNIC	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>BERNARD KRASNIC (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. John Wagner (Reg. No. 35,398).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 January 2010	Time: <u>6:40pm</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 7, 8, 23, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37, 40		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Bernard Krasnic/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated a telephone interview with the Applicant's representative, Mr. Wagner (Reg. No. 35,398), to propose an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite prosecution; the Examiner's proposed amendments have been attached to this Office Action. Mr. Wagner after contacting the Applicant stated that the client did not want to amend the application as proposed by the Examiner and wishes to continue to proceed with the Appeal Brief as filed on 11/04/2009. The Examiner has therefore moved forward with this Non-Final Office Action.